

Finite element methods in scientific computing

Wolfgang Bangerth, Colorado State University

Lecture 31.61:

Nonlinear problems

**Part 3a: A “complete” Newton's
method for the minimal surface
equation, step-77**

The minimal surface equation

Recall from previous lectures: Our goal is to solve

$$-\nabla \cdot \left(A \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}} \right) = f \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \underbrace{f + \nabla \cdot \left(A \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}} \right)}_{=: R(u)} = 0$$

Newton's method: Iterate

$$[R'(u_k)] \delta u_k = -R(u_k), \quad u_{k+1} = u_k + \alpha_k \delta u_k$$

step-15 does this. But we had some open questions:

- What is the variational formulation of this?
- How to choose the step length alpha?
- Could we make all of this a bit cheaper?

Newton's method for PDEs

Question: What is the variational formulation of

$$[R'(u_k)] \delta u_k = -R(u_k), \quad u_{k+1} = u_k + \alpha_k \delta u_k$$

Answer: With...

$$(\varphi, R'(u_k)(\delta u_k)) := \left(\nabla \varphi, \frac{A}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_k|^2}} \nabla \delta u_k \right) - \left(\nabla \varphi, \frac{A(\nabla u_k \cdot \nabla \delta u_k)}{(1+|\nabla u_k|^2)^{3/2}} \nabla u_k \right)$$

$$(\varphi, R(u_k)) := (\varphi, f) + \left(\nabla \varphi, \frac{A}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_k|^2}} \nabla u_k \right)$$

...we arrive at this in each Newton step:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\nabla \varphi, \frac{A}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_k|^2}} \nabla \delta u_k \right) - \left(\nabla \varphi, \frac{A(\nabla u_k \cdot \nabla \delta u_k)}{(1+|\nabla u_k|^2)^{3/2}} \nabla u_k \right) \\ &= -(\varphi, f) - \left(\nabla \varphi, \frac{A}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u_k|^2}} \nabla u_k \right) \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1 \end{aligned}$$

Practical considerations

Question: Newton's method does not always converge.

Answer: Yes. In many cases one needs a “globalization” strategy

$$[R'(u_k)] \delta u_k = -R(u_k), \quad u_{k+1} = u_k + \alpha_k \delta u_k$$

where the step length is chosen anew in each iteration.

This is often done using a “line search” algorithm.

But: step-15 does not do this – because line search is not easy to implement.

Practical considerations

Question: How accurate do we have to be?

Observation: In the first few Newton steps, we are still far away from the solution!

- We could compute Newton updates δu_k on a coarse mesh
- We could solve the linear system inaccurately

In practice, this is exactly what is done.

But: step-15 only does the former.

Practical considerations

Question: Do we really have to assemble the Jacobian matrix in each iteration?

Observation: In the first few Newton steps, we are still far away from the solution!

- We could compute Newton updates δu_k inaccurately, by not updating the Jacobian matrix in each step
- We can also avoid updating the expensive preconditioner

In practice, this is exactly what is done.

But: step-15 only does not do it.

step-77

Overview: What we want is to...

- Use optimal step lengths via a line search
- Only update the Jacobian matrix/preconditioner when necessary
- Only solve linear systems inexactly

Step-77 does the first two points!

Question: How do we achieve this? This is going to take a lot of code.

step-77

Overview: What we want is to...

- Use optimal step lengths via a line search
- Only update the Jacobian matrix/preconditioner when necessary
- Only solve linear systems inexactly

Question: How do we achieve this? This is going to take a lot of code.

Answer: Let's not re-invent the wheel – other people have already done all this, and better than we ever could!

Specifically: Use the *KINSOL* solver of the *SUNDIALS* project: <https://computing.llnl.gov/projects/sundials>